

Legislative Bulletin.....March 4, 2010

Contents:

H.Res. 1086 – Recognizing the importance and significance of the 2010 Census and encouraging each community within the Indian Country to name an elder to be the first member of that community to answer the 2010 Census.

H.Res. 1086 - Recognizing the importance and significance of the 2010 Census and encouraging each community within the Indian Country to name an elder to be the first member of that community to answer the 2010 Census. (*Baca, D-CA*)

Order of Business: The resolution is scheduled to be considered on Thursday, March 4, 2010, under a motion to suspend the rules and pass the resolution.

Summary: H.Res. 1086 resolves that Congress recognizes:

- “Recognizes the importance and significance of the 2010 census and encourages full participation in this critical process; and
- “Encourages each community within the Indian Country to name an elder to be the first member of that community to answer the 2010 Census.”

The resolution a number of findings, including:

- “In the 2000 Census, 4.3 million people, or 1.5 percent of the total United States population, stated that they were American Indian or Alaska Native;
- “April 1, 2010, is the date for the 2010 Census;
- “The San Manuel Band Serrano Mission Indians in California propose to name an elder to be the first member of that community to answer the 2010 Census;
- “It is hoped that the naming of an elder to be the first member of that community to answer the 2010 Census will encourage other members of that community to answer the 2010 Census; and
- “Elder participation in the 2010 Census count will encourage others to participate in the 2010 Census.”

Additional Information: The census question form can be found [here](#).

Committee Action: H.Res. 1086 was introduced on February 23, 2010, and referred to the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, which took no public action.

Cost to Taxpayers: The resolution authorizes no expenditures.

Does the Bill Expand the Size and Scope of the Federal Government?: No.

Does the Bill Contain Any New State-Government, Local-Government, or Private-Sector Mandates?: No.

Does the Bill Comply with House Rules Regarding Earmarks/Limited Tax Benefits/Limited Tariff Benefits?: A committee report citing compliance with the rules regarding earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits is not available. However, the resolution does not contain any earmarks.

Constitutional Authority: A committee report citing constitutional authority is unavailable.

RSC Staff Contact: Curtis Rhyne, Curtis.Rhyne@mail.house.gov, (202) 226-8576.
