



**Legislative Bulletin.....July 17, 2007**

**Contents:**

**H.R. 2641—PART III**—Amendments to the Energy & Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2008

H.R. 2641, the Energy & Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2008 (sponsored by Rep. Peter Visclosky, D-IN), was considered on the House floor on June 19-20, 2007, subject to an open rule (H.Res. 481), making in order any germane amendment under the five-minute rule, which was then restricted by a unanimous consent agreement. Under this agreement, all the non-earmark amendments were dispensed with back in June. The Committee of the Whole rose without completing consideration of the bill to allow for a supplemental report on earmarks to be filed.

The rule allows one motion to recommit with or without instructions. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill, except those regarding PAYGO and earmarks and allows the Chair to postpone consideration of the legislation at any time during its consideration. The rule also waives the no-authorizing-on-appropriations point of order against provisions in the underlying bill.

Note: The summaries below are based on RSC staff’s review of *actual amendment text*. For a summary of the underlying bill or other amendments pre-filed in the *Congressional Record* and/or offered on the floor, see separate RSC documents released in June.

**RSC Staff Contact:** Paul Teller; [paul.teller@mail.house.gov](mailto:paul.teller@mail.house.gov); 202-226-9718

---

---

**Amendments Pre-Filed in the Congressional Record**

**35. Hensarling (R-TX).** Prohibits funds from being used for the South Carolina HBCU Science and Technology Initiative (SC). (\$1.5 million; Rep. Clyburn of South Carolina) The earmark sponsor writes that, “The funding would be used [for] math and science research at the respective institutions.”

**36. Hensarling (R-TX).** Prohibits funds from being used for the Environmental Science Center, University of Dubuque, IA. (\$1 million; Rep. Braley of Iowa) The earmark sponsor writes that, “The funding would be used to renovate and expand an existing campus facility for the purpose of training the country’s environmental scientists of tomorrow.”

**37. Hensarling (R-TX).** Prohibits funds from being used for the Emmanuel College Center for Science Partnership, MA. (\$500,000; Rep. Capuano of Massachusetts) The earmark sponsor writes that, “The funding would be used to obtain computer and science equipment for the College’s new science labs.”

**38. Hensarling (R-TX).** Prohibits funds from being used for Roosevelt University Biology Laboratory Equipment (IL). (\$1 million; Rep. Danny Davis of Illinois) The earmark sponsor writes that, “The funding would be used to equip two laboratories, creating 48 state of the art workstations.”

**39. Hensarling (R-TX).** Prohibits funds from being used for Nanosys, Inc. (\$1 million; Rep. Eshoo of California) The earmark sponsor writes that, “The funding would be used to develop fuel cell membrane electrode assembly to enable the production of lightweight fuel cells suitable for automotive applications and portable electronic devices.”

### **Amendments NOT Pre-Filed in the Congressional Record**

**Campbell (R-CA).** Prohibits funds from being used for any of the earmarks listed in the supplemental report.

**Flake (R-AZ).** Rep. Flake is expected to offer numerous amendments to strike individual earmarks, as he has in the past. Details were not available at press time.

###